



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,917	01/30/2004	Raghu M. Ramajois	8200.809	3981

7590 04/29/2008
Liniak, Berenato & White
Ste. 240
6550 Rock Spring Drive
Bethesda, MD 20817

EXAMINER

PILKINGTON, JAMES

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3682

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

RECEIVED
FROM DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

APR 28 2008

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE
6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE
SUITE 240
BETHESDA, MD 20817

In re Application of
Raghu M. Ramajois et al.
Application No. 10/766,917
Filed: January 30, 2004
For: **GEAR HOUSING VENT**

:
:
:
:
:
:
**DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.181**

This is a decision on Applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.181 received on October 12, 2007 to have reconsidered and withdrawn the objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

The Petition is **GRANTED**.


A review of the file record indicates that in a non-final Office action dated July 12, 2007 the drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for not showing every feature of the claimed invention. Specifically, claims 4, 14 and 18 recite "said at least one hole through said sidewall includes two holes". The aforementioned Office action objected to the drawings for not showing this claimed feature.

By way of the instant petition, the applicants argue that figures 1 and 2 of the present invention show a pair of vent holes 15 provided along through a side wall surface of the vent tube 3.

A review of both the drawings and claims indicates that where claims 1, 7 and 17 require "at least one hole", dependent claims 4, 14 and 18 simply serve to further limit claims 1, 7 and 17 by in essence reciting "said at least one hole through said sidewall includes two holes". Figures 1 and 2 clearly show at least two holes. Accordingly, the drawings comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a). The objection to the drawings is hereby withdrawn. The case is currently before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Summary: the Petition is **GRANTED**.

Any questions regarding this decision should be directed to Supervisory Patent Examiner Richard Ridley at 571-272-6917.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Katherine Matecki". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Kathy Matecki, Director
Technology Center 3600
(571) 272-5250

TL